

Spinor Green's functions via spherical means on products of space forms

Alberto Enciso^{a*} and Niky Kamran^{b†}

^a Departement Mathematik, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland.

^b Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Montréal, Québec,
Canada H3A 2K6.

Abstract

We explicitly compute the Green's function of the spinor Klein–Gordon equation on the Riemannian and Lorentzian manifolds of the form $M_0 \times \cdots \times M_N$, with each factor being a space of constant sectional curvature. Our approach is based on an extension of the method of spherical means to the case of spinor fields and on the use of Riesz distributions.

1 Introduction

Our objective in this paper is to compute the Green's function for the spinor Klein-Gordon operator on all Riemannian and Lorentzian product manifolds of the form $M_0 \times \cdots \times M_N$, where each factor is a simply connected Riemannian or Lorentzian manifold of constant sectional curvature. For $N = 1$, this class of manifolds includes the de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spaces, which are conformally flat. In contrast, for $N \geq 2$, these manifolds are not conformally flat. They comprise for example the Robinson–Bertotti solutions of the Einstein–Maxwell equations, which are of Petrov type D.

To the best of our knowledge, the Green's function for the Dirac equation on de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spaces was first computed at the formal level by Allen and Lütken [2] and Mück [21]. These results have been used in a number of recent cosmological and field-theoretic applications, e.g. [3, 8, 5, 11, 13, 17]. Camporesi has also studied the spinor heat kernel in these spaces [6], and Inagaki, Ishikawa and Muta [16] have considered the Dirac equation on the Einstein universe $\mathbb{R}_1^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

The case $N \geq 2$ treated in this paper differs in significant ways from the conformally flat case $N = 1$ and requires notably a more elaborate treatment of the underlying spectral theory. Our approach is based on an extension to the case of spinor fields of the classical method of spherical means, which is a fundamental tool in the analysis of scalar wave equations [14]. In the Riemannian case, this leads to an integral representation for the unique square-integrable solution of the inhomogeneous spinor Klein-Gordon equation in the class of manifolds under consideration. In the Lorentzian case, the method of spherical means adapted to spinor fields leads naturally to the use of Riesz transforms, which are very well suited for the analysis

*alberto.enciso@math.ethz.ch

†nkamran@math.mcgill.ca

of linear wave equations. In addition, the use of spherical means avoids the necessity of starting with an explicit ansatz for the action of the Dirac operator on the Green's function, in contrast to the earlier works cited above for the case of de Sitter and anti-de Sitter space. The outcome of the calculation is an exact integral representation for the unique advanced Green's function for the spinor Klein-Gordon operator in the manifolds considered in this paper.

We should point out that we have recently used the method of spherical means to compute the Green's function for the Hodge Laplacian on differential forms on a class of symmetric spaces which is a special subclass of the one considered in the present paper [10], namely products of Riemannian surfaces of constant curvature with de Sitter or anti-de Sitter space. For the case of Hodge Laplacians, the method of spherical means does not extend beyond the case of two factors in the product structure, with one factor being a Riemannian surface. We shall see in the present paper that in contrast with the Hodge Laplacian case, there is no such restriction for the spinor Klein-Gordon equation and that the method of spherical means applies to the general product structure.

We have chosen to analyze the spinor Klein-Gordon (or Laplace) equation [20] rather than the Dirac equation because the former presents a remarkable intertwining property with spherical means that allows us to compute the Green's function not only for spaces of constant curvature, but also for arbitrary products thereof. This property, which is presented in Lemmas 2 and 4, is made manifest by computing the action of the spinor Laplacian on spherical means. It is not shared by the Dirac operator or the Laplacian on forms, which accounts for the fact mentioned earlier that spherical means can be used to compute the differential form Green's function on product spaces only when there is at most one factor of dimension higher than two. It should be mentioned, however, that the approach developed in this paper can be readily used to rigorously compute the Green's function of the Dirac equation on space forms as well.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce two spherical means operators on spaces of constant curvature and derive a manageable formula for the action of the Dirac operator on spherically averaged spinor fields on these spaces in terms of a "radial" ordinary differential operator. The spectral resolution of this radial operator plays a key role in our approach and is computed in Section 3. In Section 4 we consider the Riemannian case, computing the minimal spinor Green's function in closed form. The Lorentzian case is dealt with in Section 5, where we explicitly compute the advanced (or retarded) Green's function.

2 Spherical means for spinors

2.1 Riemannian spherical means

Throughout this subsection, we shall denote by M the simply connected Riemannian manifold of dimension n and constant sectional curvature k . It is well known that this manifold admits a unique spin structure. The space of C^∞ spinor fields on M will be denoted by $\Sigma(M)$, with the fiber of the spinor bundle at a point $x \in M$ being $\Sigma_x(M)$. Clifford multiplication will be simply denoted by juxtaposition, which allows us to write the action of the Dirac operator in local coordinates x^i as

$$D_M\psi := g^{ij}e_i\nabla_j\psi.$$

Here g is the metric in M , ∇ is the covariant derivative operator and e_i is a convenient shorthand notation for the vector field $\partial/\partial x^i$.

Let us denote by $\rho_M(x, x')$ the Riemannian distance between two points $x, x' \in M$. We recall that the injectivity radius of M coincides with its diameter $\text{diam } M$, which is $+\infty$ if $k \leq 0$ and $\pi/\sqrt{k}$ if $k > 0$. If $\rho_M(x, x')$ is smaller than the injectivity radius of M , there exists a unique minimal geodesic $\gamma_{x, x'} : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ with $\gamma_{x, x'}(0) = x'$ and $\gamma_{x, x'}(1) = x$. In this case, we denote by $\nu(x, x') \in T_x M$ the unit tangent vector to this curve at x , which can also be defined as $\nu(x, x') := \nabla \rho_M(x, x')$, i.e., the gradient of $\rho_M(x, x')$ computed with respect to its first variable. The spinor parallel transport operator from x' to x is the map $\Lambda(x, x') : \Sigma_{x'}(M) \rightarrow \Sigma_x(M)$ defined by $\Lambda(x, x')\psi := \Psi(1)$, where $\Psi(t)$ is the unique solution of the parallel transport equation along $\gamma_{x, x'}$, which can be written (with a slight abuse of notation) as

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_{x, x'}(t)} \Psi(t) = 0, \quad \Psi(0) = \psi.$$

$\Lambda(x, x')$ is clearly well defined, and smoothly dependent on x and x' , whenever x' does not belong to the cut locus of x .

Our goal for this section is to introduce appropriate spherical means operators on spinors and prove an intertwining relation which allows to exchange the action of the square Dirac operator D_M^2 for that of ordinary differential operators. To do so, we start by introducing the notation $B_M(x, r)$ for the ball of center x and radius r and setting $S_M(x, r) := \partial B_M(x, r)$. It is well known that $S_M(x, r)$ is diffeomorphic to a sphere for any $0 < r < \text{diam } M$ and that these spheres foliate $M \setminus \{x\}$ (and minus the antipodal point of x if M is positively curved). As the area of $S_M(x, r)$ is

$$m_M(r) := |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \left(\frac{\sin \sqrt{k} r}{\sqrt{k}} \right)^{n-1}, \quad (1)$$

we will denote by $d\mu_M(r) := m_M(r) dr$ the radial measure on M . The determination of the square root we shall use has non-negative real part, with a branch cut on the negative real axis, and is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$. If k is negative, we take the square root $\sqrt{k} \in i\mathbb{R}^+$.

Definition 1. Let $0 < r < \text{diam } M$. The (Riemannian) *spherical means* of a smooth spinor field $\psi \in \Sigma(M)$ on the sphere of radius r are defined as the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_r \psi(x) &:= \frac{1}{m_M(r)} \int_{S_M(x, r)} \Lambda(x, x') \psi(x') dS(x'), \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_r \psi(x) &:= \frac{1}{m_M(r)} \int_{S_M(x, r)} \nu(x, x') \Lambda(x, x') \psi(x') dS(x'), \end{aligned}$$

with dS standing for the induced hypersurface measure.

The crucial intertwining property of the spinor spherical means operators in M with the spinor Laplacian is presented in Lemma 2 below. In order to state the result we introduce the differential operators

$$T_M f(r) := f''(r) + (n-1)\sqrt{k} \cot(\sqrt{k}r) f'(r) - \frac{k(n-1)}{4} \left(\sec^2 \frac{\sqrt{k}r}{2} + n-1 \right) f(r), \quad (2a)$$

$$\widehat{T}_M f(r) := f''(r) + (n-1)\sqrt{k} \cot(\sqrt{k}r) f'(r) - \frac{k(n-1)}{4} \left(\csc^2 \frac{\sqrt{k}r}{2} + n-1 \right) f(r), \quad (2b)$$

and recall the well known formulas for the covariant derivatives of $\nu(x, x')$ and $\Lambda(x, x')$ (cf. e.g. [2, 15, 21])

$$\nabla_i \nu_j = \sqrt{k} \cot(\sqrt{k} \rho_M) (g_{ij} - \nu_i \nu_j), \quad \nabla_i \Lambda = -\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2} \tan \frac{\sqrt{k} \rho_M}{2} (e_i \nu - \nu_i) \Lambda. \quad (3)$$

For the ease of notation, we omit the argument (x, x') in ν , ρ_M and Λ .

Lemma 2. *For any $f \in C_0^\infty((0, \text{diam } M))$ and $\psi \in \Sigma(M)$,*

$$D_M^2 \int_0^\infty (f(r) \mathcal{M}_r \psi + \hat{f}(r) \mathcal{M}_r \psi) d\mu_M(r) = \int_0^\infty (T_M f(r) \mathcal{M}_r \psi + \hat{T}_M \hat{f}(r) \mathcal{M}_r \psi) d\mu_M(r)$$

Proof. Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x) &:= \int_0^\infty (f(r) \mathcal{M}_r \psi(x) + \hat{f}(r) \mathcal{M}_r \psi(x)) d\mu_M(r) \\ &= \int (f(\rho_M(x, x')) \Lambda(x, x') \psi(x') + \hat{f}(\rho_M(x, x')) \nu(x, x') \Lambda(x, x') \psi(x')) dx', \end{aligned}$$

where dx' stands for the Riemannian volume measure on M . Omitting the dependence on (x, x') in the rest of the proof, a short computation using (5) and the facts that $e_i e_j + e_j e_i = 2g_{ij}$ and $\nu \nu = 1$ gives

$$D_M \nu = g^{kl} e_k \nabla_l \nu_j e_i g^{ij} = \sqrt{k} \cot(\sqrt{k} \rho_M) (g^{ij} e_i e_j - \nu \nu) = (n-1) \sqrt{k} \cot(\sqrt{k} \rho_M), \quad (4a)$$

$$g^{ij} e_i \nu \nabla_j \Lambda = -\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2} \tan \frac{\sqrt{k} \rho_M}{2} g^{ij} (2\nu_i - \nu e_i) (e_j \nu - \nu_j) \Lambda = (n-1) \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2} \tan \frac{\sqrt{k} \rho_M}{2}. \quad (4b)$$

We now make use of some well known formulas for the covariant derivatives of $\nu(x, x')$ and $\Lambda(x, x')$ (cf. e.g. [2, 15, 21])

$$\nabla_i \nu_j = \sqrt{k} \cot(\sqrt{k} \rho_M) (g_{ij} - \nu_i \nu_j), \quad \nabla_i \Lambda = -\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2} \tan \frac{\sqrt{k} \rho_M}{2} (e_i \nu - \nu_i) \Lambda. \quad (5)$$

For the ease of notation, we omit the argument (x, x') in ν , ρ_M and Λ .

Using the formulas (4) and (5), the action of the Dirac operator on Ψ is readily found to be

$$\begin{aligned} D_M \Psi &= \int (D_M(f(\rho_M) \Lambda \psi) + D_M(\hat{f} \nu \Lambda \psi)) dx' \\ &= \int (f'(\rho_M) \nu \Lambda \psi + f(\rho_M) D_M \Lambda \psi + \hat{f}'(\rho_M) \Lambda \psi + \hat{f}(\rho_M) (D_M \nu) \Lambda \psi + \hat{f}(\rho_M) g^{ij} e_i \nu \nabla_j \Lambda \psi) dx' \\ &= \int (f'(\rho_M) - (n-1) \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2} \tan \frac{\sqrt{k} \rho_M}{2} f(\rho_M)) \nu \Lambda \psi dx' \\ &\quad + \int \left(\hat{f}'(\rho_M) + (n-1) \sqrt{k} \left(\cot(\sqrt{k} \rho_M) + \frac{1}{2} \tan \frac{\sqrt{k} \rho_M}{2} \right) f(\rho_M) \right) \nu \Lambda \psi dx'. \end{aligned}$$

Acting with D_M on both sides of this equation and arguing as above we readily find

$$D_M^2 \Psi = \int (T_M f(\rho_M) \Lambda \psi + \hat{T}_M \hat{f}(\rho_M) \nu \Lambda \psi) dx' = \int (T_M f(r) \mathcal{M}_r \psi + \hat{T}_M \hat{f}(r) \mathcal{M}_r \psi) d\mu_M(r),$$

as claimed. $\square$

2.2 Lorentzian spherical means

We now show how the above results must be modified when the underlying manifold is Lorentzian. To begin with, let M now be the simply connected Lorentzian manifold of dimension n and constant sectional curvature k . The Minkowski ($k = 0$) and de Sitter spaces ($k > 0$) are globally hyperbolic. Anti-de Sitter space ($k < 0$) is not, although it is strongly causal. We shall therefore restrict ourselves to a domain $\Omega \subset M$ which will be chosen to be geodesically normal (that is a normal neighborhood of each of its points) when $k < 0$, while when $k \geq 0$, Ω can be taken to be the whole space M .

We use the standard notation $J_\Omega^+(x)$ for the set of points in Ω causally connected with x , that is the set of points $x' \in \Omega$ such that there exists a future directed, non-spacelike curve from x to x' . Likewise, we set

$$S_\Omega^+(x, r) := \{x' \in J_\Omega^+(x) : \rho_M(x, x') = r\}$$

and denote by dS the induced hypersurface measure. Here $\rho_M(x, x')$ stands for the distance between two points x and $x' \in J_\Omega^+(x)$. It is well known that $J_\Omega^+(x)$ is foliated by the level sets of ρ_M ,

$$J_\Omega^+(x) = \bigcup_{r \geq 0} S_\Omega^+(x, r), \quad \text{with } S_\Omega^+(x, r) \cap S_\Omega^+(x, r') = \emptyset \text{ if } r \neq r'.$$

In the Lorentzian case we still define m_M as in (1) and set $d\mu_M(r) := m_M(r) dr$. Notice that $m_M(r)$ is not the area of $S_\Omega^+(r)$, which can be infinite. If $x \in \Omega$ and $x' \in J_\Omega^+(x)$ does not lie on the causal cut locus of x , the parallel transport operator $\Lambda(x, x') : \Sigma_{x'}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Sigma_x(\Omega)$ along the unique future-directed minimal geodesic from x to x' is again well defined. We also keep the notation $\nu(x, x') \in T_x\Omega$ for the unit tangent vector at x of the aforementioned minimal geodesic.

Definition 3. Let $\psi \in \Sigma(\Omega)$ be compactly supported. Its (Lorentzian) *spherical means* of radius r are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_r^\Omega \omega(x) &:= \frac{1}{m_M(r)} \int_{S_\Omega^+(x, r)} \Lambda(x, x') \psi(x') dS(x'), \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_r^\Omega \omega(x) &:= \frac{1}{m_M(r)} \int_{S_\Omega^+(x, r)} \nu(x, x') \Lambda(x, x') \psi(x') dS(x'). \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we shall omit the superscript Ω for simplicity of notation. The main property of the spherical means is the following analog of Lemma 2. We omit its proof, for it follows from Eqs. (5) and (4) (which, being of an essentially algebraic nature, remain valid in the context of Lorentzian manifolds) just as in the Riemannian case.

Lemma 4. For any $f \in C_0^\infty((0, \text{diam } M))$ and any compactly supported $\psi \in \Sigma(\Omega)$,

$$D_M^2 \int_0^\infty (f(r) \mathcal{M}_r \psi + \hat{f}(r) \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_r \psi) d\mu_M(r) = \int_0^\infty (T_M f(r) \mathcal{M}_r \psi + \widehat{T}_M \hat{f}(r) \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_r \psi) d\mu_M(r)$$

3 The radial operator

In this section we shall compute the spectral resolution of the radial operator (2a), which will play a key role in the rest of the paper. For concreteness, we shall assume that k is nonzero, the flat case being obviously easier to handle.

As the differential operator T_M is nonnegative and symmetric in the domain $C_0^\infty((0, \text{diam } M))$, we will denote by $\mathcal{T}_M$ its Friedrichs extension. The spectral projection of $\mathcal{T}_M$ is most easily presented in terms of the Borel measure $d\vartheta_M$ on $\mathbb{R}^+$ defined as

$$d\vartheta_M(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^{n/2}(2j+n)j!(j+n-1)!}{2^{n+1}|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|\Gamma(j+\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(j+\frac{n}{2}+1)} \delta(\lambda - k(\frac{n}{2}+j)^2) \right) d\lambda & \text{if } k > 0, \\ \left| \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}})\Gamma(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}})} \right|^2 \frac{(-k)^{(n-1)/2} d\lambda}{2^n |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \sqrt{\lambda}} & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

In addition to this, let us denote by $w_M : (0, \text{diam } M) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function defined $(\mu_M \times \vartheta_M)$ -almost everywhere by requiring that

$$w_M\left(r, \left(\frac{n}{2} + j\right)^2\right) := \cos \frac{\sqrt{kr}}{2} P_j^{(\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2})}(\cos \sqrt{kr})$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ if $k > 0$ and

$$w_M(r, \lambda) := \cos \frac{\sqrt{kr}}{2} F\left(\frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}, \frac{n}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}, \frac{n}{2}; \sin^2 \frac{\sqrt{kr}}{2}\right)$$

if $k < 0$. Here we are using the standard notation $P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z)$ and $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z)$ for the Jacobi polynomials and the Gauss hypergeometric function.

All the information we shall need concerning the spectral properties of $\mathcal{T}_M$ is summarized in the following

Theorem 5. *With ϑ_M and w_M defined as above, the following statements hold:*

(i) $w_M(\cdot, \lambda)$ is an analytic formal eigenfunction of T_M with eigenvalue $-\lambda$ for ϑ_M -almost every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$

(ii) The map

$$U_M f(\lambda) := \int_0^{\text{diam } M} f(r) w_M(r, \lambda) d\mu_M(r)$$

defines a unitary transformation $L^2((0, \text{diam } M), d\mu_M) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, d\vartheta_M)$ with inverse given by

$$U_M^{-1} h(r) := \int_0^\infty w_M(r, \lambda) h(\lambda) d\vartheta_M(\lambda) ..$$

(iii) If g is a measurable function and $f \in L^2((0, \text{diam } M), d\mu_M)$ is such that $g U_M f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, d\vartheta_M)$, then

$$g(-\mathcal{T}_M) f(r) = \int_0^\infty g(\lambda) w_M(r, \lambda) U_M f(\lambda) d\vartheta_M(\lambda)$$

in the sense of norm convergence.

(iv) If $f \in L^2((0, \text{diam } M), d\mu_M) \cap C^\infty([0, \text{diam } M])$, then

$$f(r) = \int_0^\infty w_M(r, \lambda) U_M f(\lambda) d\vartheta_M(\lambda) \tag{6}$$

for all $r \in [0, \text{diam } M]$.

Proof. We find it convenient to perform the analysis of the operator $\mathcal{T}_M$ in terms of the variable $z := \sin^2 \frac{\sqrt{kr}}{2}$, which ranges over $(0, 1)$ when k is positive and over $(-\infty, 0)$ when k is negative. If we denote this interval by I_k , the latter change of variables induces a unitary isomorphism $L^2((0, \text{diam } M), d\mu_M) \rightarrow L^2(I_k, d\tilde{\mu}_M)$, with

$$d\tilde{\mu}_M(z) := C_M [z(1-z)]^{\frac{n}{2}-1} dz, \quad C_M := 2^{n-1} k^{-n/2} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|.$$

In terms of this new variable, the ordinary differential equation $T_M w = -\lambda w$ reads

$$z(1-z)w''(z) + \left(\frac{n}{2} - nz\right)w'(z) - \left[\frac{n-1}{4}\left(n-1 + \frac{1}{1-z}\right) - \frac{\lambda}{k}\right]w(z) = 0. \quad (7)$$

This is a Fuchsian differential equation with three regular singular points: 0 (with characteristic exponents 0 and $1 - \frac{n}{2}$), 1 (with exponents $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1-n}{2}$) and ∞ (with exponents $\frac{1-n}{2} \pm \sqrt{\lambda/k}$).

From the knowledge of the characteristic exponents we infer that T_M is essentially self-adjoint when $n \geq 4$, the domain of its unique self-adjoint extension $\mathcal{T}_M$ being

$$\mathcal{D}_M := \{w \in C^1(I_k) : w' \text{ is absolutely continuous and } T_M w \in L^2(I_k, d\tilde{\mu}_M)\}.$$

For $n = 2, 3$, T_M is of limit-circle type at $z = 0$, and its Friedrichs extension is defined on the domain [19]

$$\mathcal{D}_M := \left\{w \in C^1(I_k) : w' \text{ is absolutely continuous, } \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z^{n/2} w(z) = 0, \text{ and } T_M w \in L^2(I_k, d\tilde{\mu}_M)\right\}.$$

Let us begin with the case $k > 0$. It is standard that $-\mathcal{T}_M$ is a positive operator and has a discrete spectrum. Its eigenfunctions are the solutions w of (7) in $(0, 1)$ which are bounded at both endpoints. This is well known to imply that

$$w(z) = C(1-z)^{1/2} F\left(\frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}, \frac{n}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}, \frac{n}{2}; z\right)$$

is a polynomial multiple of $(1-z)^{1/2}$, which is tantamount to demanding that $\lambda = (\frac{n}{2} + j)^2$ for some nonnegative integer j . Therefore,

$$w(z) = C'(1-z)^{1/2} P_j^{(\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2})}(1-2z)$$

for some normalization constant C' . The norm of w is easily computed using that [1, 22.2.1]

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |w(z)|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_M(z) &= C_M |C'|^2 \int_0^1 z^{\frac{n}{2}-1} (1-z)^{n/2} P_j^{(\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2})}(1-2z)^2 dz \\ &= 4C_M |C'|^2 \frac{\Gamma(j + \frac{n}{2}) \Gamma(j + \frac{n}{2} + 1)}{(2j+n) j! (j+n-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of positive curvature, the claim follows immediately from the spectral theorem upon expressing the above formulas in the original variable r .

Let us now consider the case $k < 0$. From the expression for the exponents at infinity we deduce that, for non-negative λ , the eigenvalue equation (7) does not admit any square integrable solutions at infinity, so the spectrum of $\mathcal{T}_M$ must be purely (absolutely) continuous. In order to locate the continuous spectrum of the operator, notice that Eq. (7) can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{\omega(z)} \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{p(z)}{\omega(z)} \frac{dw}{dz} \right) + q(z) w(z) = 0,$$

with

$$p(z) = [z(z-1)]^{n-1}, \quad \omega(z) := [z(z-1)]^{\frac{n}{2}-1}, \quad q(z) := -\frac{n-1}{4} \left(n-1 + \frac{1}{1-z} \right).$$

Since the function

$$Q(z) := q(z) + \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{1}{\omega(z)} \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{1}{\omega(z)} \frac{dp}{dz} \right) - \frac{1}{4\omega(z)^2 p(z)} \left(\frac{dp}{dz} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{(n-1)(n+4z-3)}{16z(z-1)}$$

tends to 0 as $z \rightarrow -\infty$, it is a standard result [9, Theorem XIII.7.66] that the spectrum of $-\mathcal{T}_M$ is the half-line $[0, \infty)$.

In order to compute the spectral projector of $-\mathcal{T}_M$, let us take $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ with positive real part. Then the solutions of (7) which are square-integrable in a neighborhood of 0 and satisfy the boundary condition are proportional to

$$w_0(z, \lambda) := (1-z)^{1/2} F\left(\frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}, \frac{n}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}, \frac{n}{2}; z\right),$$

while the ones that are integrable at infinity are proportional to

$$w_{\pm}(z, \lambda) := (-z)^{-\frac{n}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}} (1-z)^{1/2} F\left(-\frac{n}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}, 1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}, 1 \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}; \frac{1}{z}\right)$$

when the imaginary part of $\pm\lambda$ is positive. From the asymptotic behavior these solutions, one can readily infer that the reduced Wronskian of w_- and w_+ , which is constant, is given by

$$\widetilde{W}(w_+(\cdot, \lambda), w_-(\cdot, \lambda)) := p(z) \left(\frac{dw_+}{dz} w_-(z) - w_+(z) \frac{dw_-}{dz} \right) = -2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}.$$

The above local solutions are connected by the formula [1, 15.3.7]

$$w_-(z, \lambda) = K_0(\lambda) w_0(z, \lambda) + K_+(\lambda) w_+(z, \lambda),$$

with

$$K_0(\lambda) := \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}) \Gamma(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) \Gamma(-2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}})}, \quad K_+(\lambda) := -\frac{\Gamma(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}) \Gamma(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}})}{\Gamma(2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}}) \Gamma(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{k}})}.$$

Since $\sqrt{\lambda/k}$ is purely imaginary, it is not difficult to see that $K_0(\lambda)$ and $K_+(\lambda)$ are holomorphic functions of λ in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$. Setting

$$U_M w(\lambda) := \int w_0(z, \lambda) w(z) d\tilde{\mu}_M(z)$$

for all $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^-, d\tilde{\mu}_M)$ and realizing that $d\tilde{\mu}_M(z) = |C_M \omega(z)| dz$, we deduce from the spectral theorem (cf. e.g. [9, p. 1524]) that

$$g(-\mathcal{T}_M)w(z) = \int_0^\infty g(\lambda) w_0(z, \lambda) U_M w(\lambda) d\vartheta_M(\lambda)$$

whenever $g U_M w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, d\vartheta_M)$, the spectral measure being defined as

$$d\vartheta_M(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{K_0(\lambda)^2}{K_+(\lambda) \widetilde{W}(w_+(\cdot, \lambda), w_-(\cdot, \lambda))} d\lambda.$$

By reverting to the original coordinate r we derive the first three assertions about the spectral decomposition of $-\mathcal{T}_M$.

Concerning the fourth assertion, we first notice that the validity of (6) for $r \in (0, \text{diam } M)$ is straightforward under the assumption that f is continuous [18, Theorem 6.1]. The fact that it also converges at 0 is considerably more subtle and requires higher differentiability assumptions on f . To in order to prove it, it suffices to fix a point $x' \in M$ and notice that T_M is related to the Schrödinger operator

$$L_M := \Delta_M - \frac{k(n-1)}{4} \left(\sec^2 \frac{\sqrt{k}\rho_M(\cdot, x')}{2} + n - 1 \right)$$

via

$$(T_M \varphi)(\rho_M(\cdot, x')) = L_M(\varphi(\rho_M(\cdot, x'))).$$

The convergence of (6) at 0 then follows from the results of Pinsky and Taylor [22, 23] on the convergence at 0 of generalized spherical Fourier transforms. $\square$

4 The Riemannian case

Let M_a ($0 \leq a \leq N$) be the simply connected Riemannian manifold of dimension n_a and constant sectional curvature k_a , and consider the product space

$$\overline{M} := M_1 \times \cdots \times M_N. \quad (8)$$

Obviously the Dirac operator $D_{M_0 \times \overline{M}}$ in $M_0 \times \overline{M}$ can be identified with the operator $D_0 \oplus D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_N$ acting on $\Sigma(M_0) \oplus \Sigma(M_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Sigma(M_N)$, where here and in what follows we use the subscript a rather than M_a for the ease of notation. For any “mass” parameter $m > 0$, our goal in this section is to solve the spinor equation

$$(m^2 - D_{M_0 \times \overline{M}}^2) \psi = \phi \quad (9)$$

explicitly for compactly supported $\phi \in \Sigma(M_0 \times \overline{M})$. Notice that there exists precisely one L^2 solution ψ of this equation because the operator $m^2 - D_{M_0 \times \overline{M}}^2$ has a compact inverse. To derive an explicit expression for ψ , we will rely on the intertwining relation presented in Lemma 2 and on the spectral decomposition worked out in Theorem 5.

We will denote by $\mathcal{M}_{r_a}^{(a)}$ the spinor spherical means operator in M_a of radius r_a and introduce the shorthand notation

$$\bar{r} := (r_1, \dots, r_N) \in \prod_{a=1}^N [0, \text{diam } M_a], \quad \bar{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^N, \quad \bar{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \overline{M} \quad (10a)$$

$$d\bar{\mu}(\bar{r}) := d\mu_1(r_1) \cdots d\mu_N(r_N), \quad d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda}) := d\vartheta_1(\lambda_1) \cdots d\vartheta_N(\lambda_N), \quad |\bar{\lambda}| := \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_N, \quad (10b)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{r}} := \mathcal{M}_{r_1}^{(1)} \cdots \mathcal{M}_{r_N}^{(N)}, \quad w_{\overline{M}}(\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}) := w_1(r_1, \lambda_1) \cdots w_N(r_N, \lambda_N), \quad U_{\overline{M}} := U_1 \cdots U_N. \quad (10c)$$

Before stating and proving the main result of this section, we establish a key lemma. In the statement of this lemma, we shall use the constant $C(n_0, k_0, \lambda_0)$ defined as

$$C(n_0, k_0, \lambda_0) := \frac{1}{(n_0 - 2)|\mathbb{S}^{n_0-1}|} \left(\frac{k_0}{4} \right)^{\frac{n_0}{2}-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_0}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n_0}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_0}{2} + 1\right) \tilde{\Gamma}\left(\frac{n_0}{2} - 1\right)}$$

if $k_0 > 0$ and by

$$C(n_0, k_0, \lambda_0) := \frac{1}{2(n_0 - 2)|\mathbb{S}^{n_0-1}|} \left(\frac{k_0}{4} \right)^{\frac{n_0}{2}-1} \left[\operatorname{Re} \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}\left(\frac{n_0}{2} - 1\right) \Gamma\left(1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_0}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-n_0}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}}\right)} \right]^{-1}$$

if $k_0 < 0$. Here

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(t) := \begin{cases} \Gamma(t) & \text{if } t \neq 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 6. *For each $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $\sqrt{\lambda_0/k_0} - n_0/2$ is not a positive integer, define the function*

$$g(r_0, \lambda_0) := C(n_0, k_0, \lambda_0) \cos \frac{\sqrt{k_0} r_0}{2} F\left(\frac{n_0}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}}, \frac{n_0}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}}, \frac{n_0}{2} + 1; \cos^2 \frac{\sqrt{k_0} r_0}{2}\right)$$

if $k_0 > 0$ and

$$\tilde{g}(r_0, \lambda_0) := 2C(n_0, k_0, \lambda_0) \cos \frac{\sqrt{k_0} r_0}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(\cos \frac{\sqrt{k_0} r_0}{2} \right)^{-n_0-2} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}} F\left(\frac{n_0}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}} 1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}}; \cos^{-2} \frac{\sqrt{k_0} r_0}{2}\right) \right]$$

if $k_0 < 0$. Then $f(\cdot, \lambda_0)$ is a real solution of the equation $(\lambda_0 - T_0)g(\cdot, \lambda_0) = 0$ in $(0, \operatorname{diam} M_0]$ and has the asymptotic behavior

$$g(r_0, \lambda_0) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(n_0 - 2)|\mathbb{S}^{n_0-1}| r_0^{n_0-2}} + \mathcal{O}(r_0^{1-n_0}) & \text{if } n_0 \geq 3, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r_0} & \text{if } n_0 = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We introduce the new variable $z := \sin^2 \frac{\sqrt{k_0} r_0}{2}$, in terms of which the equation $(\lambda_0 - T_0)g = 0$ reads as

$$z(1-z)g''(z) + \left(\frac{n_0}{2} - n_0 z\right)g'(z) - \left[\frac{n_0-1}{4}\left(n_0-1 + \frac{1}{1-z}\right) - \frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}\right]g(z) = 0. \quad (11)$$

For notational simplicity, we omit the dependence of g on λ_0 . Notice that (11) is a Fuchsian differential equation with three regular singular points: 0 (with exponents 0 and $1 - \frac{n_0}{2}$), 1 (with exponents $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1-n_0}{2}$) and ∞ (with exponents $\frac{n_0}{2} \pm \sqrt{\lambda_0/k_0}$).

Suppose that k_0 is positive, so that $z \in [0, 1]$. Since g is regular at $z = 1$, there exists a constant C such that

$$g(z) = C(1-z)^{1/2} F(a, b, 1+c; 1-z),$$

where we have set

$$a := \frac{n_0}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}}, \quad b := \frac{n_0}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}}, \quad c = \frac{n_0}{2}. \quad (12)$$

To fix the normalizing constant C , it suffices to note [1, 15.3.6–15.3.12] that

$$F(a, b, 1 + c; 1 - z) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n_0}{2} - 1) \Gamma(\frac{n_0}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n_0}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}}) \Gamma(\frac{n_0}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{k_0}})} z^{1 - \frac{n_0}{2}} + o(z^{1 - \frac{n_0}{2}})$$

for $z \in (0, 1)$ and $n_0 \neq 2$ and to express the result in the original variable r_0 . When $n_0 = 2$, the above equation remains valid if we replace $z^{1 - \frac{n_0}{2}}$ by $\log z$.

The case $k_0 < 0$ is similar. A real solution of (11) is

$$g(z) = C (1 - z)^{1/2} \left[(1 - z)^{-a} F\left(a, c - b, a - b + 1; \frac{1}{1 - z}\right) + (1 - z)^{-b} F\left(b, c - a, b - a + 1; \frac{1}{1 - z}\right) \right],$$

with a, b and c defined as in (12). Using [1, 15.3.6–15.3.12] and arguing as above, one readily arrives at the desired formula. $\square$

Theorem 7. For any $\epsilon > 0$, let $\psi : M_0 \times \overline{M}$ be the smooth spinor field defined by

$$\psi(x_0, \bar{x}) := \int f(r_0, \bar{\lambda}) w_{\overline{M}}(\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}) \mathcal{M}_{r_0}^{(0)} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{r}} \phi(x_0, \bar{x}) d\mu_0(r_0) d\bar{\mu}(\bar{r}) d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda}), \quad (13)$$

where

$$f(r_0, \bar{\lambda}) := w_{\overline{M}}(0, \bar{\lambda}) g(r_0, m^2 + |\bar{\lambda}|)$$

and $g(r_0, \lambda_0)$ is defined as in Lemma 6. Then ψ is the unique square-integrable solution of $(m^2 - D_{M_0 \times \overline{M}}^2) \psi = \phi$.

Proof. Note that the function $r_0 \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{r_0}^{(0)} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{r}} \phi(x_0, \bar{x})$ is in $C_0^\infty([0, \text{diam } M_0])$ for all $(\bar{r}, x_0, \bar{x})$ because ϕ is smooth and compactly supported. By Lemma 2 and Theorem 5, the action of $m^2 - D_{M_0 \times \overline{M}}^2$ on ψ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (m^2 - D_{M_0 \times \overline{M}}^2) \psi &= \int (m^2 - T_0) f(r_0, \bar{\lambda}) w_{\overline{M}}(\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}) \mathcal{M}_{r_0}^{(0)} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{r}} \phi d\mu_0(r_0) d\bar{\mu}(\bar{r}) d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda}) \\ &\quad - \int f(r_0, \bar{\lambda}) (T_1 + \cdots + T_N) w_{\overline{M}}(\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}) \mathcal{M}_{r_0}^{(0)} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{r}} \phi d\mu_0(r_0) d\bar{\mu}(\bar{r}) d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda}) \\ &= \int (m^2 + |\bar{\lambda}| - T_0) f(r_0, \bar{\lambda}) w_{\overline{M}}(\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}) \mathcal{M}_{r_0}^{(0)} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{r}} \phi d\mu_0(r_0) d\bar{\mu}(\bar{r}) d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6 ensures that $f(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})$ is a Green's function of the operator $m^2 + |\bar{\lambda}| - T_0$ with pole at 0. Using this property, the fact that $\mathcal{M}_0^{(a)}$ is the identity operator and Theorem 5, the above integral reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} (m^2 - D_{M_0 \times \overline{M}}^2) \psi(x_0, \bar{x}) &= \int w_{\overline{M}}(0, \bar{\lambda}) w_{\overline{M}}(\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}) \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{r}} \phi(x_0, \bar{x}) d\bar{\mu}(\bar{r}) d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda}) \\ &= \int w_{\overline{M}}(0, \bar{\lambda}) U_M(\bar{\mathcal{M}} \phi(x_0, \bar{x}))(\lambda) d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda}) = \psi(x_0, \bar{x}), \end{aligned}$$

as required. $\square$

Remark 8. The case $m = 0$ can be tackled with a similar argument under the assumption that ϕ is orthogonal to any L^2 harmonic spinor in $M_0 \times \bar{M}$. A theorem of Goette and Semmelmann [12] ensures that nontrivial harmonic spinors exist if and only if $m = 0$, the dimensions n_a are even, and the curvatures k_a are negative for all $a \geq 0$; further details can be found in [7]. It is also clear that the formula (13) remains valid under less stringent integrability and regularity conditions for ϕ , but we shall not pursue this issue here.

Remark 9. Define $A := \{a : k_a > 0\}$ and let us write the a -th eigenvalue, with $a \in A$, as $\lambda_a = (\frac{n_a}{2} + j_a)^2$, where $j_a \in \mathbb{N}$. The number $w_{\bar{M}}(0, \bar{\lambda})$ appearing in the statement of Theorem 7 can be explicitly written as

$$w_{\bar{M}}(0, \bar{\lambda}) = \prod_{a \in A} \frac{\Gamma(j_a + \frac{n_a}{2})}{j_a! \Gamma(\frac{n_a}{2})}.$$

5 The Lorentzian case

We now denote by M_0 the simply connected Lorentzian space form of dimension n_0 and sectional curvature k_0 , while M_a ($1 \leq a \leq N$) stands for the simply connected Riemannian manifold of dimension n_a and constant sectional curvature k_a . We will also consider the product manifold $\bar{M}$, defined in (8), and use the shorthand notation (10).

As discussed in Section 2.2, we will restrict ourselves to a normal domain $\Omega \subset M_0$, which is a proper subset of M_0 if k_0 is negative. Given a “mass” $m > 0$, our goal in this section is to use the machinery developed in the previous sections to solve the spinor wave equation

$$(m^2 - D_{M_0 \times \bar{M}}^2)\psi = \phi \quad (14)$$

in closed form, where the spinor field $\phi \in \Sigma(\Omega \times \bar{M})$ is compactly supported. For this purpose we will rely on spinor spherical means, the spectral analysis of the radial operators and the theory of Riesz transforms.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ the space of distributions on Ω . The (advanced) *Riesz distribution* is defined as

$$R_{\Omega, x_0}^\alpha(h) := \int_{J_\Omega^+(x_0)} g_\alpha(\rho(x_0, x'_0))^{\alpha-n_0} h(x'_0) dx'_0, \quad (15)$$

where α is a parameter with real part greater than n_0 , $h \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $x_0 \in \Omega$ and

$$g_\alpha(s) := \frac{\pi^{1-\frac{n_0}{2}} 2^{1-\alpha} s^{\alpha-n}}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-n_0}{2} + 1)}$$

It is obvious that the distribution-valued function $\alpha \mapsto R_{\Omega, x_0}^\alpha$ is holomorphic in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > n$. A fundamental result in the theory of Riesz transforms [24] states that this map can actually be holomorphically extended to the whole complex plane, the resulting distribution satisfying

$$\square_0 R_{\Omega, x_0}^\alpha = R_{\Omega, x_0}^{\alpha-2}, \quad R_{\Omega, x_0}^0(h) = h(x_0).$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x_0 \in \Omega$. Here $\square_0$ denotes the wave operator on M_0 .

The expression of (15) in terms of scalar spherical means motivates the definition of a spinor analog of the Riesz transform in Ω , which is the bundle-valued distribution $\mathcal{R}_{\Omega, x_0}^\alpha \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \operatorname{Hom} \Sigma(\Omega))$ defined by setting

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Omega, x_0}^\alpha(\varphi) := \int g_\alpha(r_0)^{\alpha-n_0} \mathcal{M}_{r_0}^{(0)} \varphi(x_0) d\mu_0(r_0)$$

for any spinor field φ compactly supported in Ω . It is a straightforward consequence of the classical theory on scalar Riesz transforms that $\mathcal{R}_{\Omega, x_0}^\alpha$ is an entire function of α and that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Omega, x_0}^0(\varphi) = \varphi(x_0).$$

In order to solve Eq. (14) using this “spinor Riesz transform”, we will need the following easy lemma, whose proof we sketch below.

Lemma 10. *For any multi-index $\bar{\lambda} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^N$, the following statements hold:*

(i) *If $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > n_0 + 2$, the function $f_\alpha(r_0, \bar{\lambda})$ defined by*

$$f_\alpha(r_0, \bar{\lambda}) := \int \frac{w_0(r_0, \lambda_0)}{m^2 + |\bar{\lambda}| + \lambda_0} U_0 g_\alpha(\lambda_0) d\vartheta_0(\lambda_0) \quad (16)$$

satisfies the elliptic equation

$$(m^2 + |\bar{\lambda}| - T_0)f_\alpha(\cdot, \bar{\lambda}) = g_\alpha. \quad (17)$$

(ii) *The spinor-valued distribution given when $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > n_0 + 2$ by*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\Omega, x_0, \bar{\lambda}}^\alpha(\varphi) := \int f_\alpha(r_0, \bar{\lambda}) \mathcal{M}_{r_0}^{(0)} \varphi(x_0) d\mu_0(r_0)$$

defines an entire function of α and satisfies

$$(m^2 + |\bar{\lambda}| - D_0^2) \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\Omega, x_0, \bar{\lambda}}^\alpha = \mathcal{R}_{\Omega, x_0}^{\alpha-2}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $\bar{\lambda} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^N$.

Proof. When $\alpha > n_0 + 2$, the function g_α is twice differentiable and in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu_0)$, but not square-integrable, so we must begin by specifying in which sense $U_M g_\alpha$ must be interpreted. Since the spectral transform $U_0 : L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu_0) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, d\vartheta_0)$ is unitary, it is not difficult to verify that it acts naturally on the space of tempered distributions as

$$(U_0 u)(h) := u(U_0 h), \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^+), \forall h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Therefore, Eq. (16) makes sense with the integral being understood in the sense of distributions. The fact that $f_\alpha(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})$ satisfies (17) then follows from Theorem 5 and the density of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^+)$ through the standard approximation argument.

By Lemma (4), this ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} [(m^2 + |\bar{\lambda}| - D_0^2) \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\Omega, x_0, \bar{\lambda}}^\alpha](\varphi) &= \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\Omega, x_0, \bar{\lambda}}^\alpha[(m^2 + |\bar{\lambda}| - D_0^2)\varphi] \\ &= \int f_\alpha(r_0, \bar{\lambda}) [\mathcal{M}_{r_0}^{(0)}(m^2 + |\bar{\lambda}| - D_0^2)\varphi](x_0) d\mu_0(r_0) \\ &= \int (m^2 + |\bar{\lambda}| - T_0) f_\alpha(r_0, \bar{\lambda}) \mathcal{M}_{r_0}^{(0)} \varphi(x_0) d\mu_0(r_0) \\ &= \int g_\alpha(r_0) \mathcal{M}_{r_0}^{(0)} \varphi(x_0) d\mu_0(r_0) = \mathcal{R}_{\Omega, x_0}^{\alpha-2}(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

As $m^2 + |\bar{\lambda}| - T_0$ has a compact inverse and $\mathcal{R}_{\Omega, x_0}^{\alpha-2}$ is an entire function of α , it follows from the above relation that so is $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\Omega, x_0, \bar{\lambda}}^\alpha$, thus completing the proof of the lemma. $\square$

From our previous results, we can now easily derive the main result of this section, where we calculate the solution of Eq. (14) in closed form.

Theorem 11. *The spinor field on $\Omega \times \overline{M}$ given by*

$$\psi(x_0, \bar{x}) := \int w_{\overline{M}}(0, \bar{\lambda}) w_{\overline{M}}(\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}) \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\Omega, x_0, \bar{\lambda}}^2(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{r}}\phi(\cdot, \bar{x})) d\bar{\mu}(\bar{r}) d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda})$$

solves Eq. (14), for any compactly supported $\phi \in \Sigma(\Omega \times \overline{M})$. Moreover, the map $\phi \mapsto \psi$ defines the unique advanced fundamental solution of (14).

Proof. It follows easily from Theorem 5 and Lemmas 2 and 10 that ψ is well defined and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (m^2 - D_{M_0 \times \overline{M}}^2)\psi(x_0, \bar{x}) &= \int w_{\overline{M}}(0, \bar{\lambda}) w_{\overline{M}}(\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}) (m^2 - D_0^2) \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\Omega, x_0, \bar{\lambda}}^2(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{r}}\phi(\cdot, \bar{x})) d\bar{\mu}(\bar{r}) d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda}) \\ &\quad - \int w_{\overline{M}}(0, \bar{\lambda}) (T_1 + \dots + T_N) w_{\overline{M}}(\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}) \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\Omega, x_0, \bar{\lambda}}^2(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{r}}\phi(\cdot, \bar{x})) d\bar{\mu}(\bar{r}) d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda}) \\ &= \int w_{\overline{M}}(0, \bar{\lambda}) w_{\overline{M}}(\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}) (m^2 + |\bar{\lambda}| - D_0^2) \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\Omega, x_0, \bar{\lambda}}^2(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{r}}\phi(\cdot, \bar{x})) d\bar{\mu}(\bar{r}) d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda}) \\ &= \int w_{\overline{M}}(0, \bar{\lambda}) w_{\overline{M}}(\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}) \mathcal{R}_{\Omega, x_0}^0(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{r}}\phi(\cdot, \bar{x})) d\bar{\mu}(\bar{r}) d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda}) \\ &= \int w_{\overline{M}}(0, \bar{\lambda}) U_{\overline{M}}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}\phi(x_0, \bar{x}))(\bar{\lambda}) d\bar{\vartheta}(\bar{\lambda}) = \phi(x_0, \bar{x}), \end{aligned}$$

as required. The construction of $\mathcal{R}_{\Omega, x_0, \bar{\lambda}}^\alpha$ ensures that $\phi \mapsto \psi$ defines an advanced fundamental solution of (14), the uniqueness of which is granted by the global hyperbolicity of Ω [4, Theorem 3.1.1]. $\square$

Remark 12. The retarded Green's function is also given by the above formula by substituting $J_\Omega^+(x)$ for $J_\Omega^-(x)$ in the definition of the Lorentzian spherical means.

Acknowledgments

A.E. is financially supported by a MICINN postdoctoral fellowship and thanks McGill University for hospitality and support. A.E.'s research is supported in part by the MICINN and the UCM-Banco Santander under grants no. FIS2008-00209 and GR58/08-910556. The research of N.K. is supported by NSERC grant RGPIN 105490-2004.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions*, Dover, New York, 1970.
- [2] B. Allen, C.A. Lütken, Spinor two-point functions in maximally symmetric spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 106 (1986) 201–210.
- [3] L. Anguelova, P. Langfelder, Massive gravitino propagator in maximally symmetric spaces and fermions in dS/CFT, *J. High Energy Phys.* 2003, 057.

- [4] C. Bär, N. Ginoux, F. Pfäffe, *Wave equations on Lorentzian manifolds and quantization*, EMS, Freiburg, 2007.
- [5] A. Basu, L.I. Uruchurtu, Gravitino propagator in anti-de Sitter space, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 23 (2006) 6059–6075.
- [6] R. Camporesi, The spinor heat kernel in maximally symmetrical spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 148 (1992) 283–308.
- [7] R. Camporesi, E. Pedon, Harmonic analysis for spinors on real hyperbolic spaces, *Colloq. Math.* 87 (2001) 245–286.
- [8] H. Collins, Fermionic alpha-vacua, *Phys. Rev. D* 71 (2005) 024002.
- [9] N. Dunford, J.T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators II*, Wiley, New York, 1988.
- [10] A. Enciso, N. Kamran, Green’s function for the Hodge Laplacian on some classes of Riemannian and Lorentzian symmetric spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 290 (2009) 105–127.
- [11] B. Freivogel, Y. Sekino, L. Susskind, C.P. Yeh, A Holographic framework for eternal inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* 74 (2006) 086003.
- [12] S. Goette, U. Semmelmann, The point spectrum of the Dirac operator on noncompact symmetric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 130 (2002) 915–923..
- [13] B. Gripaios, H.D. Kim, R. Rattazzi, M. Redi, C.A. Scrucca, Gaugino mass in AdS space, *J. High Energy Phys.* 2009, 043.
- [14] S. Helgason, *Geometric analysis on symmetric spaces*, AMS, Providence, 1994.
- [15] T. Inagaki, K. Ishikawa, Thermal and curvature effects to dynamical symmetry breaking, *Phys. Rev. D* 56 (1997) 5097–5107.
- [16] T. Inagaki, K. Ishikawa, T. Muta, Exact solution of the equation for spinor two-point functions in the Einstein universe, *Progr. Theor. Phys.* 96 (1996) 847–852.
- [17] J.F. Koksma, T. Prokopec, The fermion propagator in cosmological spaces with constant deceleration, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 26 (2009) 125003.
- [18] B.M. Levitan, I.S. Sargsjan, *Introduction to spectral theory*, AMS, Providence, 1975.
- [19] M. Marletta, A. Zettl, The Friedrichs extension of singular differential operators, *J. Differential Equations* 160 (2000) 404–421.
- [20] E. Marx, Klein–Gordon equation for spinors, *J. Math. Phys.* 8 (1967) 1559–1566.
- [21] W. Mück, Spinor parallel propagator and Green function in maximally symmetric spaces, *J. Phys. A* 33 (2000) 3021–3026.
- [22] M.A. Pinsky, Pointwise Fourier inversion and related eigenfunction expansions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 47 (1994) 653–681.
- [23] M.A. Pinsky, M.E. Taylor, Pointwise Fourier inversion: a wave equation approach, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 3 (1997) 647–703.
- [24] M. Riesz, L’intégrale de Riemann-Liouville et le problème de Cauchy, *Acta Math.* 81 (1951) 1–223.